1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION		
3			
4	ANDREW TYLER FOSTER, ET AL,)		
5	Plaintiff,) No. 6:15-CV-03519-BCW		
6) February 6, 2017 v.) Kansas City, Missouri) CIVIL		
7	L-3 COMMUNICATIONS EOTECH,)		
8	Defendant.)		
9			
10	TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONE CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE BRIAN C. WIMES		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
12			
13	Proceedings recorded by electronic voice writing		
14	Transcript produced by computer		
15 16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	APPE	ARING BY TELEPHONE
2	For Plaintiffs:	
3		Dollar, Burns & Becker 1100 Main Street Suite 2600
4		Kansas City, Missouri 64105
5		MR. CRAIG R. HEIDEMANN MR. NATHAN A. DUNCAN
6		Douglas, Haun & Heidemann - Boliver 111 W. Broadway
7		P.O. Box 117 Boliver, Missouri 65613
8		MS. INNESSA MELAMED
9		MR. NADEEM FARUQI MR. ADAM GONNELLI
10		Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP 685 Third Avenue 26th Floor
12		New York, NY 10017-6531
13		MR. BONNER WALSH Walsh LLC
14		P.O. Box 7 Bly, OR 97622
15		MS. SHARON ALMONRODE
16		The Miller Law Firm, P.C. 950 W. University Drive Suite 300
17		Rochester, MI 48307
18	For the Defense:	MR. JOSEPH REBEIN
19		Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP-KCMO 2555 Grand Boulevard
20		Kansas City, Missouri 64108
21		MR. RICHARD C. GODFREY Kirkland & Ellis - Chicago
22		300 N. LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60654
23		
25		
۷ ک		

February 6, 2017 1 2 (Proceedings began at 10:30 AM) 3 THE COURT: Good morning, Counsel. 4 MR. DOLLAR: Good morning. MR. GODFREY: Good morning, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: I know you all have given your names to 7 my law clerk, who is on the call. I won't go through all the 8 names again, they are already on the record. 9 Let me ask -- I know I was going to have a status or 10 an update conference was the purpose of today's conference 11 call so. 12 Let me go to, Mr. Dollar? 13 MR. DOLLAR: Yes, Your Honor. If I could just go 14 ahead and leadoff? I am prepared to do so. 15 THE COURT: Yep, that is fine. 16 MR. DOLLAR: Okay. 17 First thing, we appreciate the Court today indulging 18 us to moving the previous scheduled conference until today. 19 The parties felt that was important because we had 20 reached a settlement some time ago based upon numerous 21 meetings in various places in New York and Washington DC. 2.2 as the Court knows there are complicated issues surrounding 23 the settlement, and we needed to get together one final time 24 in an effort to close the loops on some substantive issues and 2.5 some non-substantive issues.

Denise Carroll Halasey CCR, CVR-CM

Case 6:15-cv-09519-80CW/taDecurpentr125Repitedt02/17/17 Page 3 of 8

I'm pleased to report that as a result of that meeting last week in Washington DC, the parties now believe that we have reached a settlement. We came away from that meeting with the broad outline of a written agreement that has now been circulated back and forth many, many times since that date. But I believe after my phone call with Mr. Dwelkotte, who could not make the call this morning, but we talked this morning just before the call, I believe that we are -- I'll call it within an eyelash of having a final settlement agreement.

2.2

That will then result in a joint motion that I expect to be filed within a day or two asking the Court for preliminary approval of our settlement, which the Court knows is required.

So the parties have a joint motion, we have an agreement, and we are submitting with that proposed preliminary motion a proposed order for your review.

I would add to all of that discussion and invite

Mr. Godfrey to comment, that one of the issues that caused us

the most difficulty in reaching final agreement was the

timeframe of the notice plaintiffs had an interest of making

sure that was in line with what we believed would stand

scrutiny. There are issues that are internal to the company

and unrelated to the litigation that require that period start

and end rather quickly.

And in therefore, we had to come up with a way to get before you our motion for preliminary approval. Get, hopefully, an order signed by you since it is unopposed and it is joint. So that the media buy could start very quickly. We've reached an agreement on that, and that's why we expect to have the motion before you within a day or two. And we would hope -- obviously, the Court has to review it and has to make its own decision, but our hope is that we could get that order signed maybe as soon as Thursday or at the latest Friday this week, so that it would fit within the timeframe of the company's internal needs to begin a media buy and notice. So that was an integral part of the substantive part of our agreement.

With that in mind, I think everyone is on the same page and prepared to more forward as I've outlined. And I'll invite Mr. Godfrey to disagree or respond in anyway he thinks appropriate.

THE COURT: Mr. Godfrey?

2.2

MR. GODFREY: Yes, good morning, Your Honor. I think that Mr. Dollar has outlined very well where the parties intend and hope to be able to deal within a day or so. So I don't have anything to add to what he has covered this morning.

THE COURT: Great. If parties agree then I tend to go with the parties. If there's a sensitivity to time and

Thursday being it, you know, obviously, the sooner you can get 1 2 that joint agreement filed, the quicker we can take a peek. 3 But if there's a timeline -- I don't need to know necessarily 4 what the internal things are. You know, but if the parties 5 agree, I will certainly try and accommodate so we can reach 6 that goal. 7 Let me ask this question, what is the notice, the 8 timing of the notice? Because once the Court approves a preliminary, you have to send out notice. What is that --10 I've done these, but I'm trying to scratch my head. How long 11 typically the Court gives? 12 MR. DOLLAR: Well, so that was part of our 13 negotiated resolution here, Your Honor. And so somebody can 14 correct me if I am wrong, but the ultimate agreement calls for 15 60 days. So there is a 30 day media buy, and anyone can file 16 a claim within that 30 day media buy, but at the close of that 17 media buy they would have an additional 30 days. 18 And that is at least within the framework of what I 19 understand are the federal quidelines, and within the 20 framework of what other cases had reasonably approved. 2.1 Certainly there are claim periods that are longer.

Does anyone disagree?

2.2

23

24

25

those guidelines.

MR. GODFREY: No. This is Rick Godfrey. I think

Denise Carroll Halasey CCR, CVR-CM

Case 6:15-cv-09519-BCWtaDecumpent125Repitedt02/17/17 Page 6 of 8

But this was the agreement that we reached and fits within

```
1
     that that is correct.
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's good. I can tell
     you from my perspective, you know, just as soon as we get it
 3
 4
     filed, whether it is tomorrow or the next day, then I'll make
 5
     sure I'll take a look. Since I know it is time sensitive to
 6
     Thursday or Friday, more than likely I will do it Thursday.
 7
     Yeah, but the quicker you can get that filed with the Court,
 8
     the quicker we can take a peek at it to make sure.
 9
               If, for any reason, I had any questions, I would get
10
     you guys on the phone quickly, but I don't think anything
11
     would prevent the Court from signing off after I take a look
12
     on Thursday and at the very latest Friday.
13
               MR. DOLLAR: All right, Your Honor. Thank you very
14
    much.
15
               MR. GODFREY: Very good, Your Honor. Thank you.
16
               THE COURT: I think that has covered it all.
17
    be waiting for your filing.
18
               If there is nothing further I appreciate you all
19
     getting on the phone and we will talk soon, I'm sure.
20
               MR. DOLLAR:
                            Thank you.
21
               MR. GODFREY:
                             Thank you.
2.2
     (THEREUPON, the following proceedings were adjourned.)
23
24
```

25

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	
3	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
4	from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled
5	matter.
6	February 17, 2017
7	
8	/s/ Denise C. Halasey Denise C. Halasey, CCR, CVR-CM
9	United States Court Reporter
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Denice Connell Heleger CCD CVD CM

Denise Carroll Halasey CCR, CVR-CM

Case 6:15-cv-0\forall 519-BiC \text{VV} taltersurper out:125\text{Repitedt 62/17/17} Page 8 of 8